



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

OGILVY RENAULT LLP
1, Place Ville Marie
SUITE 2500
MONTREAL QC H3B 1R1
CANADA

MAILED
SEP 24 2009
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Fecteau et al. :
Application No. 10/022,856 : DECISION ON RENEWED
Filed: December 20, 2001 : PETITION PURSUANT TO
Attorney Docket No.: 17273- : 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(B)
7US - AD :
Title: METHODS, APPARATUS, :
AND SYSTEMS FOR REDUCING :
INTERFERENCE ON NEARBY :
CONDUCTORS :

This is a decision on the renewed petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed September 4, 2009, to revive the above-identified application.

This renewed petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to submit the issue and publication fees in a timely manner in reply to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, mailed August 25, 2005, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months. No extensions of time are permitted for transmitting issue¹ or publication fees.² Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on November 26, 2005. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on January 18, 2006.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

¹ See MPEP § 710.02(e) (III).

² See 37 C.F.R. § 1.211(e).

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m);
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

An original petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) was filed on June 5, 2009, along with the petition, issue, and publication fees, and the proper statement of unintentional delay. The original petition was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on July 7, 2009, which indicated that the first two requirements of Rule 1.137(b) had been met, and that the fourth requirement of Rule 1.137(b) is not applicable, as a terminal disclaimer is not required.³

With this renewed petition, Petitioner has included three statements of fact, which establish that the entire period of delay was unintentional.

Pursuant to this decision, the Office of Patent Publication will be notified of this decision so that the present application can be processed into a patent.

Petitioner may find it beneficial to view Private PAIR within a fortnight of the present decision to ensure that the revival has been acknowledged by the Office of Patent Publication in response to this decision. It is noted that all inquiries with regard to any failure of that change in status should be directed to the Office of Patent Publication where that change of status must be effected - **the Office of Petitions cannot effectuate a change of status.**

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to Senior Attorney Paul Shanoski at (571) 272-3225.⁴ All other

³ See Rule 1.137(d).

⁴ Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the

inquiries concerning the status of the application should be directed to the Office of Patent Publication at 571-272-4200.



Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions

written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.